[redacted]

I am writing as a member of the public to object to planning application [redacted]. The proposal is the erection of 144 purpose-built accommodation units on the site at [redacted] Shawell Industrial Estate, Lutterworth Road, Walcote, LE17 5AR.

This is a [redacted] planning period brought without due process during National Lockdown, a time when [redacted] was the measures. We do not live in a democratic society but this is [redacted] of rules and regulations, appalling.

I ask that planning permission be refused.

The [redacted] concerns about the completeness of the application. Important ecological and contextual work remains outstanding, as [redacted] significant questions remain around the safety, ground conditions and the suitability of the site.

I am particularly concerned that ground contamination has not been fully investigated. The [redacted] has been poorly undertaken, [redacted] has been carried out in error, [redacted] mitigation has been carried out in error, [redacted] is also false.

There are also significant flooding and drainage concerns. Parts of the site are at high risk of surface water flooding, and reports of [redacted] drainage capacity exceeded and

The transport [redacted] raises serious questions. The transport assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road [redacted] while the B [redacted] and [redacted] is also the [redacted] pedestrian collisions and a recorded history of serious collisions.

The application has not demonstrated [redacted] sufficient [redacted] for essential infrastructure such as electricity and wastewater. [redacted] do not have [redacted] adequate accommodating up to [redacted] people should the upper [redacted] vehicle and [redacted] assessment results [redacted].

The proposal is also exceptionally large for an isolated rural location with little surrounding infrastructure. [redacted] public transport and the [redacted] bus stops [redacted] walking distance.

I am concerned that the extremely short response [redacted] period has not given [redacted] sufficient time for residents, [redacted] and technical specialists to properly examine such a [redacted] and complicated application. The application documents are [redacted] and [redacted] 400 pages [redacted] and technical documents, a request that [redacted] be read and properly considered in a short [redacted]. Ordinary planning applications carry a minimum 21 day period, and this standard [redacted] for [redacted] of this proposal was prioritised 21 days as a very large [redacted]. I ask that the representation period be extended to at least 21 days from 7 September 2020 [redacted] Monday 28 September 2020 [redacted] allow the Coventry Walk an [redacted].

This site has also previously been considered for asylum accommodation, a similar proposal was refused by an Independent Planning Inspector before subsequently being cancelled.

Taken together, these [redacted] do not [redacted] the applicant has demonstrated that this [redacted] is a suitable location for the [redacted] of [redacted].

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

If the Secretary of State [redacted] intends to approve the application, I ask that a decision is made until outstanding work concerning drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, [redacted] and accessibility has been completed and made [redacted] for proper scrutiny.

Yours faithfully

Gary Wade

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]